Table I. Mössbauer Parameters for MnFeF_s.2H₂O

temp, K	δ , mm/s ^a	ΔE , mm/s	H_{eff} , kOe	
4.2	0.43	-0.58	527	
8.66	0.44	-0.58	523	
13.2	0.43	-0.60	514	
18.6	0.45	-0.68	485	
20.1	0.41	-0.75	470	
22.2	0.45	-0.74	445	
26.1	0.45	-0.75	398	
30.3	0.40	-0.92	325	
33.0	0.40	-0.99	276	
35.0	0.44	-1.11	207	
37.0		~1	180 ± 20^{b}	
39.4	0.46	0.61		
40.5	0.48	0.69		
53	0.47	0.66		
RT	0.46	0.64		

^{*a*}Relative to α -iron. ^{*b*} Estimated.

Figure 4. Mössbauer parameters for MnFeF₅.2H₂O vs temperature.

slow relaxation.¹⁰⁻¹³ The model that qualitatively fits these spectra best is that of Hoy and Corson.¹⁴ Their model considers an insulator just above T_c as composed of paramagnetic regions and clusters of spins with small values of reduced magnetization. The spins in paramagnetic regions are fluctuating rapidly, and the spins of the cluster regions fluctuating more slowly. **As** the temperature is lowered, the relative amount of paramagnetic to spin clusters decreases until the paramagnetic component disappears (ca. 37 K for $MnFeF₅·2H₂O$ and is replaced by an ordered region with significant values of reduced magnetization. This ordered component increases relative to the spin clusters until at lower temperatures only the ordered component is shown by the spectrum (Figure *2).*

The data for the ordered region are shown in Table I and Figure 4. The effective internal field, **Heff,** increases smoothly with decreasing temperature to reach a value of 527 kOe at 4.2 K. The quadrupole-splitting constant, *AE,* is -0.58 at 4.2 K and slowly decreases to -1.1 at 35 **K.** Between 35.0 and 39.4 K, there is a virtual discontinuity as ΔE increases to its paramagnetic value of 0.65 mm/s as paramagnetism replaces ordering.

The H_{eff} values for the Fe³⁺ Mössbauer parameters of Mn- $FeF₅·2H₂O$ are in excellent agreement with the $Fe³⁺$ Mössbauer parameters for $Fe₂F₅·2H₂O$ determined by Walton et al.² and Imbert et al.⁶ At 4.2 K, the H_{eff} of 527 kOe for MnFeF₅.2H₂O may be compared with H_{eff} of 532 kOe² and 538 kOe⁶ determined for Fe₂F₅•2H₂O. At 20 K, the H_{eff} of 460 kOe² for Fe₂F₅•2H₂O may be compared with 470 kOe determined for $MnFeF₅·2H₂O$ in this study. The Mössbauer parameters for $MnFeF₅·2H₂O$ do not show the transition below T_c found by Imbert et al.⁶ on a sample of $Fe₂F₅·2H₂O$ prepared by dehydration of $Fe₂F₅·7H₂O$. Walton et al.² did not observe this transition on crystalline Fe₂- F_5 -2H₂O. The MnFe F_5 -2H₂O samples in this work were prepared by the same procedure as that used by Walton et al.

The quadrupole-splitting constant of 0.65 mm/s for $Fe³⁺$ in $MnFeF₅·2H₂O$ is essentially the same in the paramagnetic region of the spectrum as those reported for Fe^{3+} in $Fe_2F_5·2H_2O$, 0.65 and 0.59 mm/s.^{2,6,7} However, the ΔE for MnFeF₅.2H₂O in the ordered region is a factor of 6 greater than the ΔE of -0.105 mm/s found by Imbert et al.⁶ for $Fe₂F₅$. $2H₂O$ in the same region. Presumably, this reflects the stronger crystal field from the Mn^{2+} $(3d^5)$ ion than from the Fe²⁺ $(3d^4)$ ion.

In general, this study shows the Fe^{3+} ion in MnFeF_s. $2H_2O$ to have magnetic properties very similar to those of $Fe³⁺$ in $Fe₂$ - F_5 -2H₂O. This result might clarify the problem of the saturation magnetization of MnFeF₅ \cdot 2H₂O.⁵ The saturation magnetization of $Fe₂F₅·2H₂O$ agrees nicely with a simple model for negative exchange, $\mu (Fe^{3+}) - \mu (Fe^{2+}) = 1.0 \mu_B$ vs the experimental 1.2 μ_B .² Substitution of Mn^{2+} for Fe²⁺ by the same model would lead to $\mu_B = 0$, rather than 1.1 μ_B found by Jones et al.⁵ The determination of this work that the Fe^{3+} ions in MnFeF₅.2H₂O and $Fe₂F₅·2H₂O$ have very similar magnetic properties suggests that the agreement found for the simple model of negative exchange may be fortuitous; and a more complicated model, involving magnetic sublattices, may be required to be consistent with both compounds.¹⁵ The explanation for the differences in the T_c temperatures for MnFeF_s. $2H_2O$ and Fe₂F_s. $2H_2O$ may also lie with such a model.

Registry No. MnFeF_s.2H₂O, 66106-43-2.

(15) Smart, J. S. *Effective Field Theories of Magnetism;* W. B. Saunders: Philadelphia, PA, 1966.

> Contribution from the Savannah River Laboratory, E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Aiken, South Carolina 29808

Iodine- 129 Mossbauer Study of Actinide Iodides'

D. G. Karraker

Received November 26, 1986

The ¹²⁹I Mössbauer effect can provide information on the bonding characteristics of iodine-containing compounds. Previous studies^{1,2} investigated LaI₃, GdI₃, and ErI₃ and found a slight effect on the 5p electron distribution in these compounds. Four actinide iodides, ThI₄, UI₄, NpI₃, and PuI₃, were investigated in this study to compare with the lanthanide iodides.

Experimental Section

The compounds were prepared from **1291** obtained as an Na1291 solution from the Isotopes Division of Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). $Hg^{129}I_2$ was precipitated from solution, centrifuged, washed, and dried under vacuum for 2-3 days. Actinide iodides were prepared by reacting actinide metals with a 20% excess of $Hg^{129}I_2$ at $350-400$ °C in evacuated, sealed glass tubes.³ Typical preparations used 20-30 mg of the actinide metal and 80–90 mg of $Hg^{129}I_2$.

The source for the Mössbauer study was an $Mg_3^{129}TeO_6$ pellet, prepared from enriched ¹²⁸Te by the Isotopes Division of ORNL and irradiated for 2 weeks in the ORNL HIFR reactor.⁴ Samples contained about 20 mg of the compound under study sealed between two layers of plastic.

Wickham, H. **H.;** Wertheim, G. K. **In** *Chemical Applications of Mossbauer Spectroscopy;* Goldanski, **V. I.,** Herber, R. H., Eds.; Aca- (10) demic: New York, 1968.

Clauser, M. J.; Blume, M. *Phys. Rev. B: Solid State* **1971,** *3,* 583.

Dattagupta, S.; Blume, M. *Phys. Rev. B: Solid State* **1974**, *10*, 4540. Jones, D. H.; Srivastava, K. K. P. *Phys. Rev.* **1968**, 34, 7542.

Hoy, G. R.; Corson, M. R. In *Massbauer Spectroscopy and Its Chemical Applications;* Stevens, J. *G.,* Shenoy, G. K., Eds.; Advances in Chemistry 194; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1981;
pp 463–479.

^{&#}x27;The information contained in this paper was developed during the course of work under Contract No. DE-AC09-76SR00001 with the US. Department of Energy.

-V 0 +V Figure 1. Nuclear energy levels for 129Te source and **1291** absorber *(eq* assumed positive) and line positions in a ¹²⁹I Mössbauer spectrum.

-1-

Figure 2. ¹²⁹I Mössbauer spectrum of Pu¹²⁹I₃. Bars represent relative intensities calculated from ref **7.**

Mössbauer spectra were measured in vertical transmission geometry with an Austin Science Associates instrument operated in a constantacceleration mode. Both source and sample were cooled to liquid-helium sured with a calibrated germanium resistance thermometer. Spectra were normally measured at temperatures in the range 7-15 K to minimize helium use.

The detector was an Na(T1)I crystal; counting rates were of the order of 10³ counts for each of the 512 channels. The velocity was calibrated with a ⁵⁷Co–Rh source and α -iron foil absorber replacing the ¹²⁹Te source and absorber. The correction to zero velocity for the ⁵⁷Co-Rh source was
taken as -0.11 mm/s;⁵ correction from the $Mg_3^{129}TeO_6$ source to $Zn^{129}Te$
was taken to be -3.49 mm/s.⁶ With these corrections the isomer of Cu¹²⁹I was measured as -0.44 mm/s, in reasonable agreement with the recommended value of -0.41 mm/s.⁶

Results and Discussion

The ¹²⁹I Mössbauer spectra of the actinide iodides all showed a single asymmetric resonance with inflections in the envelope.

- **(1)** Da Costa, **M.** I., Jr.; Fraga, E. F. **R.;** Sonnino, T. *J. Chem. Phys. 1970, 52,* **1611.**
- **(2)** Da Costa, **M.** I., Jr.; Andrade, P. D. R.; Viccaro, P. *J. Rev. Brasil Fir. 1971, I,* **337.**
- **(3) Asprey, L. B.; Kennan, T. K.; Kruse, F. H. In** *Rare Earth Res* **Eyring, L., Ed.; Gordon and Breach: New York, 1965; p 527.**
- **(4)** Pasternak, M.; Van der Heyden, M.; Langouche, G. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B* **1984,** *84,* **152.**
- **(5)** Stevens, **J. G.;** Gettys, W. L. In *M6ssbauer Isomer Shijts;* Shenoy, G. K., Wagner, F. E., Eds.; North-Holland: Amsterdam, 1978; p¹
- **(6)** De Waard, H. In *Chemical Missbauer Spectroscopy;* Herber, *R.* H., Ed.; Plenum: New York, **1984;** p **295.**

Table 1. Comparison of Assigned and Calculated Line Positions

line position, ^a mm/s				line position, ^a mm/s	
transition	assigned	calcd	transition	assigned	calcd
2	1.67	1.63		4.99	4.88
3	3.54	3.52		4.56	4.65
4	2.86	2.90		5.41	5.40
5	3.71	3.75			av dev 0.05

^a Relative to Mg_3 ¹²⁹TeO₆ source.

Table 11. Mossbauer Parameters of Actinide Iodides

		$IS, \, mm/s$				
compd	temp, K	measd	rel to $Zn^{129}Te$	$e^2qQ_{127}/h,$ MHz	η^a	$U_{\rm n}^{\ b}$
ThI ₄	5.5	3.72	0.23	-310	0.36	0.14
UI_4	10	3.81	0.32	-280	0.54	0.12
NpI ₁	8.5	3.73	0.24	-290	0.38	0.13
PuI,	9	3.84	0.35	-260	0.32	0.11

^aThe asymmetry parameter. b The reduced field gradient, U_p = eq_{zz} ^{mol}/eq^{at}.

The inflections and asymmetry of the envelope indicated that the normal **Iz9I** quadrupole splitting was too small to be resolved.

The nuclear energy levels of the quadrupole-split ¹²⁹I Mössbauer spectrum and the line positions for the normal spectrum *(eq* > 0) are shown in Figure 1. Each half of a spectrum was analyzed by assigning transitions to inflection points in the envelope of the resonance. The transition positions for both halves were then averaged. The spectrum of $\overline{P}uI_3$ and the transitions assigned are shown in Figure 2. The line energies from these assignments were least-squares fitted to the theoretical expression for each transition by the method of Shenoy and Dunlap. $⁷$ </sup>

The energy of each nuclear level is represented by

$$
E(I,I_z) = e^2 Q V_{zz} \sum_{N=0}^{4} A_N(I,I_z) \eta^N
$$
 (1)

where E is the level energy for state I and level I_z , V_{zz} is the electric field gradient, $A_N(I, I_z)$ are the eigenvalue coefficients for state I and level I_z , η is the asymmetry parameter defined by

$$
\eta = (V_{xx} - V_{yy})/V_{zz}
$$
 (2)

and *Q* is the nuclear quadrupole moment. The values of $A_N(I,I_z)$ are tabulated by Shenoy and Dunlap.' The energy of a transition is the difference in the energies of level I^*, I^* and level I, I, and is given by

$$
R(I_z^*,I_z) = [E(I^*,I_z^*) - E(I,I_z)] + \delta \tag{3}
$$

where R is the transition energy between the levels of the excited and ground states (see Figure 1) and δ is the isomer shift. The experimental transition energies were fitted to the theoretical expression to yield δ , the quadrupole coupling constants e^2qQ_{129}/h (by convention, converted to MHz for ^{127}I), and the asymmetry parameter (η) by a nonlinear, least-squares computer program.⁸ A comparison between the assigned transitions and calculated values for the PuI₃ spectrum is shown in Table I; the Mössbauer parameters for all four actinide iodides are presented in Table 11.

The error in the Mössbauer parameters is interrelated by the computer program, but none of the values have a strong dependence on the fit. A reasonable estimate of the errors is ± 0.08 mm/s for δ , ± 30 MHz for the quadrupole coupling constant, and ± 0.1 for η , the asymmetry parameter.

The Mössbauer parameters are not significantly different among the four actinide iodides, implying that the electric field gradients

⁽⁷⁾ Shenoy, G. K.; Dunlap, B. **D.** *Nucl. Instrum. Methods 1969, 71,* **285.**

⁽⁸⁾ This program, developed by R. L. Postles of the SRL Computer Applications Division, will be explained in: Hyder, M. L.; Postles, R. L.; Karraker, D. G., submitted for publication in *Langmuir.*

(EFG's) on the iodide ions are very nearly the same for all four components. Th I_4 and UI_4 have a monoclinic structure with the Ac^{4+} ion (Ac = actinide) in an 8-coordinate, distorted square antiprism with an Ac⁴⁺-I⁻ distance of 3.2 Å;⁹ the β -PuBr₃ structure of NpI , and PuI, has the Ac³⁺ ion in a bicapped trigonal prism with $Ac^{3+}-I^-$ distances of about 3.3 Å.¹⁰ Apparently, the EFG's from both structures are not sufficiently different to show any effect on the Mössbauer parameters.

PuI₃ becomes ferromagnetic at 4.75 K,¹¹ and a magnetic broadening of the Mössbauer spectra might be expected at lower temperatures. However, no difference could be determined between the spectra measured at 4.2 K and spectra measured at temperatures above 4.75 K.

The ¹²⁹I Mössbauer parameters of the actinide iodides are the result of covalent contributions to the largely ionic bonding in these compounds and offer a measure of the covalency in their bonding. A combination of theoretical considerations12 and empirical correlations from the ¹²⁹I Mössbauer parameters of a large number of iodine-containing compounds $6,13$ can be used to calculate the hole population (h_p) for each of the three 5p orbitals. The expressions used in the calculations are

$$
\delta \, \, (\text{mm/s}) = 1.5h_{\text{p}} - 0.54 \tag{4}
$$

where 0.54 mm/s is the empirically determined shift¹³ for pure unhybridized σ -bonds and h_p , the hole population, is

$$
h_{\rm p} = h_{\rm p_x} + h_{\rm p_y} + h_{\rm p_z} \tag{5}
$$

The reduced quadrupole coupling constant, U_p , is defined by

$$
U_{\rm p} = e q_{zz}^{\rm mol}/e q^{\rm at} = h_{\rm p_z} - (h_{\rm p_x} + h_{\rm p_y})/2 \tag{6}
$$

and the asymmetry of the EFG is given by

$$
\eta^{\text{mol}} = (h_{p_x} - h_{p_y}) / (h_{p_z} - h_p / 3) \tag{7}
$$

The quadrupole coupling constant, e^2Q_{129}/h , is converted to Q_{127} units by multiplying by 31.90 MHz/(mm/s); in these units, $eq^{at} = 2293 \text{ MHz}.$

The parameters of Table I1 all fall into a rather narrow range, and thus, the estimates of hole populations will be very nearly the same. Instead of values being calculated for each compound, the parameters for all four compounds were averaged and the hole populations calculated from the averaged parameters. These are $\delta = 0.285$ mm/s, $e^2 q Q_{127}/h = -285$ MHz, and $\eta = 0.40$. The calculated values for h_p , h_{p_z} , h_{p_x} , and h_{p_y} are 0.55, 0.27, 0.15, and 0.12 mm/s, respectively.

These hole populations are approximately $h_{p_x} \approx h_{p_y} \approx 0.5 h_{p_z}$, which is the condition for an axially symmetric field with bonding along the *z* axis. The h, value implies about 13% covalency in the bonding. The ²³⁷Np Mössbauer spectrum of NpI₃ shows an isomer shift slightly negative relative to that of $NpCl₃,¹⁴$ which implies that no appreciable electron density from the iodide ions is contributed to the 5f orbitals that shield the 6s orbitals of Np^{3+} . The iodide contribution to the Np^{3+} ion is not into the 5f orbitals, but into Np 6p or Np 6d orbitals. As the 6p orbitals are filled, a contribution to the 6d orbitals appears more likely. Theoretical calculations¹⁶ suggest that ligand contributions to 6d orbitals are to be expected in actinide bonding.

A comparison of these results with those of da Costa et al.^{1,2} on LaI₃, GdI₃, and ErI₃ shows an unexpected difference in the

- (1 3) De Waard, H. In *Mossbauer Effect Data Index;* Stevens, J. G., Stevens,
- V. E., Eds.; IFI/Plenum: New York, 1973; p 447.
-
- (14) Karraker, D. G.; Stone, J. A. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1977, 39, 2215.
(15) Shannon, R. D.; Prewitt, C. T. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct.
Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem. 1969, B25, 925.
- (16) Bursten, B. E.; Casarin, M.; Di Bella, S.; Fang, **A,;** Fragala, I. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **1985,** *24,* 2169.

isomer shifts. For the lanthanide iodides, da Costa et al. found δ of \sim -0.25 mm/s, compared to the +0.25 and 0.35 mm/s values found for NpI_3 and PuI_3 in this work. The Ln³⁺ ions range in ionic radii from 1.06 to 0.88 **A,** while Np3+ and Pu3+ have ionic radii of 1.04 and 1.00 Å, respectively.¹⁵ NpI_3 , PuI₃, and LaI₃ all have the β -PuBr₃ crystal structure. The 5f ions show more tendency toward covalent contribution to their bonding, but it is surprising that it would be reflected by a 0.5 mm/s difference in δ ¹⁷

The quadrupole coupling constants for LnI, were found to be -100 MHz, compared to the average of -285 MHz for AcI₃ or AcI_4 ; both are very small and appear to show a reasonable $4f-5f$ difference. The agreement in the asymmetry parameters is as good as could be expected. It should be noted that da Costa et aL2 did not have available the latest values of the empirical constants,^{6,13} which might change some of their values, but not enough to affect the comparisons drawn here.

Registry No. 129 I, 15046-84-1; ThI₄, 7790-49-0; UI₄, 13470-22-9; NpI₃, 15513-95-8; PuI₃, 13813-46-2.

(17) The author has discarded a suggestion that the negative values for $LnI₃$ were caused by measurements in the Southern Hemisphere.

Contribution from the Departamento de Quimica Inorgánica, Universidad de Santiago, Galicia, Spain, and Instituto de Fisica e Quimica de *SBo* Carlos, Universidade de *SBo* Paulo, *SI0* Carlos, Brazil

Dimethyl(2-thiouracilato)thallium(III), a Compound with an Unusual C-TI-C Angle'

M. **S.** Garcia-Tasende,2a M. I. Sulrez,2a **A.** Sfinchez,2a J. S. Casas,*^{2a} J. Sordo,^{2a} E. E. Castellano,^{2b} and Y. P. Mascarenhas^{2b}

Received March 18, 1987

The cation dimethylthallium(III) ($Me₂Ti⁺$) is one of the most stable methylated species in aqueous solution.³ There is evidence⁴ that it may be formed from thallium(1) by biomethylation. Its toxicology has not been sufficiently investigated as to enable estimates of its environmental impact to be made, present knowledge suggesting that although it is as toxic as methylmercury(II) for microorganisms,⁵ it has little effect on rats.⁶ Its coordination chemistry in the solid state has been studied by X-ray diffraction in a relatively small number of compounds,^{$7,8$} in all of which the C-T1-C group is linear or near-linear, the angle varying from 163.5 (9) to **180'.**

In the course of our studies on the coordination chemistry of organometallic cations formed by complexation of mercury(I1) or thallium(II1) with organic molecules capable of assuming different tautomeric forms, we have investigated the reaction between $Me₂Ti⁺$ and the ligand 2-thiouracil (H₂Tu) in basic media. X-ray diffraction studies of the product, $Me₂TI(HTu)$,

-
- (3) Lee, **A.** G. *The Chemistry of Thallium;* Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1971; pp 198.
- (4) Huber, F.; Kirchmann, H. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1978, 29, L249–L250.
(5) Thayer, J. S. J*. Organomet. Chem.* 1974, 76, 265–295.
(6) Morgan, J. M. Ph.D. Thesis, The University of Mississippi, 1980.
-
- (6) Morgan, J. M. Ph.D. Thesis, The University of Mississippi, 1980.
(7) Crowder, J.; Henrick, K.; Matthews, R. W. ; Podejma, B. L. *J. Chem.*
- *Res., Miniprint* **1983,** 901-909.
- (8) Canty, A.; Mills, K.; Skelton, B. W.; White, **A.** H. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1986,** 939-945.

⁽⁹⁾ Mucker, K.; Smith, G. S.; Johnson, **Q.;** Elson, R. E. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem.* **1969,** *825,* 2362.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Zachariesen, W. H. In The Transuranium Elements; Seaborg, G. T.,
Matz, J. J., Manning, W. H., Eds.; NNES Series Division IV;
McGraw-Hill: New York, 1949; p 1473.
(11) Jones, E. R., Jr.; Hendricks, M. E.; Stone, J. A.;

Chem. Phys. **1974,** *60,* 2088. (12) Townes, C. H.; Dailey, B. P. J. *Chem. Phys.* **1949,** *17,* 782.

⁽¹⁾ Presented in part at the XXI Reunion Bienal de la Real Sociedad Espaiiola de Quimica, Santiago, Galicia, Spain, 1986. (2) (a) Universidad de Santiago. (b) Universidade de *SBo* Paulo.